

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,876	09/25/2003	Stephen Donovan	17607 (BOT)	4618
759	90 07/19/2006		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN DONOVAN			KAM, CHIH MIN	
ALLERGAN, IN 2525 Dupont Dr			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Irvine, CA 926			1656	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/672,876	DONOVAN, STEPHEN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chih-Min Kam	1656				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHI( - Exte after - if NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIS no sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (B6(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	NN. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	av 2006.					
2a)□	<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3)□	<i>,</i> —						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 13-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,5,13-15,17-19 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16 and 20</u> is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		of the definited copies not receiv	cu.				
Attachmen	k(s)						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Unotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	гасепт Арріісатоп (РТО-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1, 5 and 13-21 are pending.

Applicants' amendment and response filed May 11, 2006 is acknowledged. Applicants' response has been fully considered. Claims 1, 13, 17 and 21 have been amended, and claim 4 has been cancelled. Therefore, claims 1, 5 and 13-21 are examined.

2. The status of claim 15 is indicated as "new", which is not correct. Appropriate correction is required.

# Withdrawn Claim Rejection- 35 USC § 112

3. The previous rejection of claims 1, 4, 5 and 13-21 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment to the claim, applicant's cancellation of the claim, and applicant's response at page 3 of the amendment filed May 11, 2006.

#### Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 13-15, 17-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Lewis *et al.* (Production of Botulinum Toxin Vol. 53, pages 213-230 (1947)).

Lewis *et al.* disclose a culture media containing basal medium having Difco yeast extract 0.5 %, glucose (cerelose) 0.6 %, thioglycolic acid 0.05%, and tap water, adjusted to pH 7.5, and

supplements including 0.1% of Pepticase (a tryptic digest of casein) and 2.5% of Gluten (granular product from corn) are used to produce botulinum toxin A having toxicity 10,000 MLD (Table 1, last line; pages 213-216; claims 1, 5, 14, 15 and 17), and the reference also indicates the recommended media can be Formula I containing 2% of milk (20 g powdered milk in one liter) or Formula II containing 0.3% of casein (3 g in one liter; which contains less than 1% animal product), glucose and corn steep liquor in water, where these formulas can be used to produce 500,000 to 1,000,000 MLD of toxin (page 228; claims 13, 18, 19 and 21). Although the reference does not specifically indicate the toxin was isolated, and the culturing is performed until cell density of the fermentation medium decreases due to cell lysis, the reference discloses the toxicity test which indicates the botulinum toxin was produced in the culture medium, and the culture media was incubated at 34 °C for three days (see footnote of Table 1), and the clostridium botulinum is incubated in the seed medium for 1-4 days at stage two of fermentation. and the growth in stage two seed medium proceeds for 3 days, as evidenced by Donovan (US 20050069562, paragraph [0076]). Thus, at the time of invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that botulinum toxin can be obtained from culturing the fermentation medium containing gluten and pepticase or casein (i.e., having less than 1% animal product) and the culturing is performed until cell density of the fermentation medium decreases (i.e., incubating for the same period as the claimed method), which results in the claimed invention and was, as a whole, prima facie obvious at the time the claimed invention was made.

### Response to Arguments

Applicants indicate Lewis does not disclose use of an animal product free method or composition, but is instead concerned with reducing or removing meat from the medium use to

culture a Clostridium botulinum (see page 213), and Lewis uses animal proteins in all his processes (see page 214). Additionally, all of Tables 1-7 in Lewis disclose use of an animal protein to culture or to ferment an animal protein, where casein and Pepticase are milk proteins and are therefore clearly animal proteins (See page 21, lines 29 to page 22 line 2; and page 26, lines 14-15 of the specification of the instant patent application). Therefore, the rejection should be withdrawn (page 4 of the response).

Applicants' response has been fully considered, however, the arguments are not persuasive because the claim does not recite the fermentation medium is free of animal product in the claimed method, instead it recites the fermentation medium comprises no more than about one percent of animal product, and Lewis teaches the culturing medium for producing botulinum toxin type A contains less than 1% animal protein (e.g., casein or pepticase), which meets the criteria of the fermentation medium in the claimed method. Thus, the rejection is maintained.

#### Claim Objections

6. Claims 16 and 20 are objected to because the claim is dependent from a rejected claim, claim 1 or 13.

#### Conclusion

7. Claims 1, 5, 13-15, 17-19 and 21 are rejected; and claims 16 and 20 are objected to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

Primary Patent Examiner

Primary PATENT EXAMINER

**CMK** 

July 14, 2006